United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

June 14, 2022
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

ANDRE OMAR
WARREN,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

S
JUDGE CHARLES ESKRIDGE

S
HOUSTON,

Defendant.

S
CIVIL ACTION NO.

4:21-cv-03961

S
JUDGE CHARLES ESKRIDGE

S
S
S
S
HOUSTON,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Pending is a Memorandum and Recommendation by Magistrate Judge Christina A. Bryan dated May 23, 2022. Dkt 19. No party objected.

The district court reviews *de novo* those conclusions of a magistrate judge to which a party has specifically objected. See FRCP 72(b)(3) & 28 USC § 636(b)(1)(C); see also *United States v Wilson*, 864 F2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir 1989, *per curiam*). The district court may accept any other portions to which there's no objection if satisfied that no clear error appears on the face of the record. See *Guillory v PPG Industries Inc*, 434 F3d 303, 308 (5th Cir 2005), citing *Douglass v United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir 1996, *en banc*); see also FRCP 72(b) advisory committee note (1983).

No clear error appears upon review and consideration of the Memorandum and Recommendation, the record, and the applicable law. The Memorandum and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the Memorandum and Order of this Court. Dkt 19.

The various motions by Plaintiff Andrew Omar Warren are DENIED. Dkts $7,\,8,\,9$ & 10.

The claims by Warren in this action are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

A final judgment will enter separately.

SO ORDERED.

Signed on June 14, 2022, at Houston, Texas.

Hon. Charles Eskridge
United States District Judge